

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MARVIN D. RICHARD,

Petitioner,

v.

JERRY HOWELL, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:18-cv-00181-KJD-NJK

**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
(ECF NO. 72)**

In this habeas corpus action, after a 60-day extension of time and a 45-day extension of time, the petitioner, Marvin D. Richard, represented by appointed counsel, was due to file a reply to Respondents' answer (ECF No. 66) by July 31, 2020. See Order entered March 29, 2018 (ECF No. 14); Order entered April 17, 2020 (ECF No. 69); Order entered June 16, 2020 (ECF No. 71).


On July 31, 2020, Richard filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 72) requesting a further 7-day extension of time, to August 7, 2020, for his reply. Richard's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of the complexity of the motion to dismiss and his obligations in other cases. Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

The Court finds that Richard's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF No. 72) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **August 7, 2020**, to file his reply.

1           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further  
2 proceedings set forth in the order entered March 29, 2018 (ECF No. 14) will remain in  
3 effect.

4  
5           DATED THIS 3rd day of August, 2020.

6  
7   
8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 KENT J. DAWSON,  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28